

**Program Evaluation
Graduation Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 1
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08**

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Graduation Rate of **100.0%**.

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator is **82.3%**. The state Special Education Graduation Rate is **84.9%**.

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Dropout Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 2
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Dropout Rate of **0.0%**.

Your district performed **below** the state target for this indicator is **4.4%**. The state Special Education Dropout Rate is **4.5%**.

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Section 1: Participation in Statewide Assessments

Your district had an overall Special Education Participation Rate of **100.0%**

Your district performed **above** the statewide Special Education Participation Statewide Target of of **96.0%**

Analysis: Lake of the Woods School met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Section 2: Proficiency in Grades and Skills Assessed

Was your district at or above the Special Education Proficiency Target for grades and skills assessed?

Grade	State Target Met?	Skills Assessed	State Target Met?	Skills Assessed
3	No	Math	No	Reading
4	Yes	Math	No	Reading
5	Yes	Math	No	Reading
6	Yes	Math	Yes	Reading
7	Yes	Math	No	Reading
8	No	Math	No	Reading
10	N/A	Math	Yes	Reading
11	No	Math	N/A	Reading

Analysis - circumstance(s) that contributed to your district's proficiency rates:

Trend data for both reading and math have a high level of variability from year to year regarding percentage of students meeting proficiency. Factors that contribute to this variability of data are thought to be small cell size, varying disability relate

Analysis - current activities and links that contribute to your district improvement efforts:

Lake of the Woods District was identified as not making adequate yearly progress in reading in 2007. Based on the results, the district identified strategies to improve reading in all areas and was documented in the 2007-09 LOW District improvement plan.

Degree of need: High

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Section 3: Adequate Yearly Progreass (AYP) in the Special Education Subgroup

Did your district make Adequate Yearly Progress? Yes

Analysis:

The district does not have an District AYP improvement plan for the special education subgroup but will continue to practice procedures and strategies referred to in 2007-09 District improvement plan.

Program Evaluation
Suspensions and Expulsions
SPP Part B Indicator 4
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Suspension/Expulsion Rate of **0.0%**

Your district performed **below** the Suspension/Expulsion rate among general education students of **0.2%**

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Federal Instructional Settings 6 - 21
SPP Part B Indicator 5
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day, Part B Indicator 5A:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **64.5%**.

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **61.5%**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **60.8%**.

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day, Part B Indicator 5B:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **4.8%**

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **61.5%**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **60.8%**.

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day, Part B Indicator 5B:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **4.8%**

Your district performed **below** the state target for this indicator of **5.0%**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **4.2%**.

Analysis: Lake of the Woods met this standard

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Federal Instructional Settings 3 - 5
SPP Part B Indicator 6
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Special education and services in settings with typically developing peers, Part B Indicator 6:

A district's overall performance on this indicator can be improved by working to reduce the number of children who do not participate in regular early childhood programs. Your district rate for children who attended a special education program in a class with less than 50% children without disabilities was **0.0%**.

Your district performed **below** the state rate for this instructional setting of **22.4%**

Analysis: Below state rate, no analysis required.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Natural Environment Birth - 3
SPP Part C Indicator 2
School Year 08-09
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Early Intervention Services at home or in community settings, Part C Indicator 2:

Your district had an overall preliminary 08-09 Natural Environment Setting Rate of **91.9%**.

Your district performed **below** the 08-09 state target for this indicator of **92.0%**. The preliminary 08-09 state Natural Environment Rate is **94.5%**

Analysis: The BRIC serves 11 school districts across 8000 square miles. Part C and Part B preschool data is aggregate cooperative data. To size is small (26 infants/toddlers). 21 infants/toddlers were listed with instructional settings of 13 (Home) or 12

Degree of Need: Low

**Program Evaluation
Child Find Birth - 1
SPP Part C Indicator 5
School Year 08-09
Report Year 08-09**

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Children Birth to Age 1 with Individual Family Service Plans (IFSPs), Part C Indicator 5:

Your district had an overall preliminary 08-09 Child Find Rate of **1.02%**.

Your district performed **above** the 08-09 state target for this indicator of **0.80%**. The preliminary 08-09 state Child Find Rate is **0.81%**.

Analysis: Above state rate, no analysis required.

Degree of Need: Low

**Program Evaluation
Child Find Birth - 3
SPP Part C Indicator 6
School Year 08-09
Report Year 08-09**

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Children Birth to Age 3 with Individual Family Service Plans (IFSPs), Part C Indicator 6:

Your district had an preliminary 08-09 overall Child Find Rate of **2.97%**

Your district performed **above** the 08-09 state target for this indicator of **2.10%**. The preliminary 08-09 state Instructional Setting Rate is **2.10%**.

Analysis: Above state rate, no analysis required.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Part C Family Outcomes
SPP Part C Indicator 4
School Year 08-09
Report Year 07-08

DistrictNbr/Type: LAKE OF THE WOODS SCHOOL DISTRICT (0390-01)

Know their rights, Part C Indicator 4A:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **80%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate is **77%**.

Effectively communicate their children's needs, Part C Indicator 4B:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **84%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate is **83%**.

Help their children develop and learn, Part C Indicator 4C:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator is **90%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate of **87%**.

Analysis: The BRIC survey response rate was 13%, below the state rate of 38% and state target of 50%. Cell size is a contributing factor. Ir

Degree of Need: Low